

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/998,822

Applicant(s)

MENDOZA, ALBERTO L.

Examiner

N. M. Minnifield

Art Unit

1645

All Participants:(1) N. M. Minnifield.(2) Ian C. McLeod, 20931.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 July 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ NoIf Yes, provide a brief description: *none.***Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1-32

Prior art documents discussed:

*none***Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


N. M. MINNIFIELD
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested approval to amend the claims as set forth in the attached Examiner's amendment to place the application in condition for allowance. Examiner indicated that arguments set forth in the Appeal Brief filed 4/26/04 were persuasive and that the 103 obviousness rejection has been withdrawn. Examiner requested approval to cancel non-elected claims 1-3 and 13-32. Claims 4-12 have been allowed and renumbered 1-9 respectively.